

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
)	
Kazuhiro ATSUMI et al.)	Confirmation No.: 7928
)	
Application No.: 10/585,660)	Group Art Unit: 3742
)	
Filed: July 7, 2006)	Examiner: Mark Woodall
)	
For: LASER PROCESSING METHOD AND)	
DEVICE)	

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Window, Mail Stop Amendment
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b)

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56 and 1.97(b), Applicants bring to the attention of the Examiner the documents listed on the attached PTO Form 1449. To the best of the undersigned's knowledge, this Information Disclosure Statement ("IDS") is being filed before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits for the above-referenced application. Accordingly, Applicants do not believe that a fee is due for filing this paper.

Also, a U.S. Office Action dated August 9, 2010 that issued in another U.S. patent application no. 10/585,451 and having documents cited therein is attached for the Examiner's consideration.

While the attached U.S. Office Action dated August 9, 2010 cites to U.S. Patent No. 5,038,016, U.S. Patent No. 5,463,202, U.S. Patent No. 5,698,120, U.S. Patent No. 6,407,360, U.S. Patent Application Laid-Open No. 2002/0125232 and U.S. Patent Application Laid-Open No. 2002/0140949 are not listed on the attached PTO Form 1449 because they were previously cited in an Information Disclosure Statement in this application on March 2, 2011.

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner consider the listed documents and evidence that consideration by making appropriate notations on the attached PTO Form 1449.

This submission does not represent that a search has been made or that no better art exists and does not constitute an admission that any of the listed documents are material or constitute "prior art." If it should be determined that any of the listed documents do not constitute "prior art" under United States law, Applicants reserve the right to present to the Office the relevant facts and law regarding the appropriate status of such documents.

Applicants further reserve the right to take appropriate action to establish the patentability of the disclosed invention over any of the listed documents, should any of the documents be applied against the claims of the present application.

EXCEPT for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required, including

any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0573.


This paragraph is intended to be a **CONSTRUCTIVE PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME** in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(3).

Respectfully submitted,

DRINKER, BIDDLE & REATH LLP

Dated: April 6, 2011

By:



John G. Smith
Registration No. 33,818

Customer No. 055694
DRINKER, BIDDLE & REATH LLP
1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20005-1209
Tel: (202) 842-8800
Fax: (202) 842-8465